

# **Directed Energy Deposition Process of Corrosion Resistant Coating for** Lead-Bismuth Eutectic Environment

## Dr. Gidong Kim **KIMS**, Korea 05 June 2024





ATIONAL LABORATOR







### **Some Housekeeping Items**







# Directed Energy Deposition Process of Corrosion Resistant Coating for Lead-Bismuth Eutectic Environment

Dr. Gidong Kim KIMS, Korea 05 June 2024



## **Meet the Presenter**

**Dr. Gidong Kim** is a Senior researcher at the Korea Institute of Materials Science (KIMS). He earned his MSc in Materials Science and Engineering from Pusan National University (Republic of Korea) and PhD in Nuclear Engineering from Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology (Republic of Korea).

His research interests include additive manufacturing, brazing, and the development of welding procedures (WPS/PQR) for industrial applications. Also, he is working as an Authorized Nuclear Inspector (ANI) to ensure the safety of nuclear power plants in Republic of Korea.

Recently, he has been conducting research on advanced manufacturing technologies (AMT) applicable to small modular reactor (SMR), including Laser Directed Energy Deposition (DED) and Powder Metallurgy Hot Isostatic Pressing (PM-HIP).

Dr. Kim is the popular vote winner of the 2023 Pitch your Gen IV Research competition.



Email: gdkim@kims.re.kr



## Outlook

### 1. Introduction

- Generations of nuclear reactors
- Liquid Pb-Bi eutectic cooled fast reactors
- Objective

#### 2. Experimental procedures

- Instrument for DED process
- Experimental procedure

### 3. Results and discussions

- DED coated layer characterization
- Aqueous and LBE corrosion tests

### 4. Conclusion

- Proposed parameters of LBE resistant materials
- DED coating for final dimension pipe
- 5. Summary and Future work



### Introduction Generations of nuclear reactors (1/2)



[1] Handbook of Generation IV Nuclear Reactors, 2016



7

### Introduction Generations of nuclear reactors (2/2)

- Generation III commercial nuclear reactors using thermal neutron (0.025 eV)
  - Near zero greenhouse gas emission energy source

(Covers 10 % of the global electricity demand)

- However, 1) Uses <sup>235</sup>U (0.7% of the total natural U) as nuclear fuel → <u>limited resources</u>
   2) Produce huge amounts of high-level radioactive wastes → <u>disposal problems</u>
- Generation IV fast reactors using <u>fast neutron</u> (≥1 MeV)
  - High-energy neutron can 'breed' the <sup>238</sup>U to fissile isotopes (<sup>239</sup>Pu, etc.)
  - <u>Short-lived</u> (100's of years) <u>waste</u> forms
  - Through pyro-processing, 'closed fuel cycle' could be achieved
    - → Making nuclear power essentially **<u>sustainable</u>** and <u>**renewable**</u>





[2] M. Salvatores, Nuclear Fuel Cycle Science and Engineering, 2012 <Comparison of nuclear waste radiotoxicity before and after transmutation [2]>

GEN

. \*\*

### Introduction Representative model of Gen. IV SMR in Republic of Korea [3,4]



[3] Advances in Small Modular Reactor Technology Developments, 2022 [4] KAIST MSR Material Technology Workshop, 2023

### Introduction Necessity of marine propulsion reactors

- Micro-modular reactor for marine application (ex., icebreaker)
  - IMO (International Maritime Organization) strongly requires reducing the carbon intensity of all ships by 40% by 2030, compared to 2008
  - Most ships are unable to meet these regulations from now
  - The need for nuclear-propulsion ships is arising
- Historically, nuclear powered submarines and aircraft carriers have been built and operated.
  - ; small area -> Compact and modulated design
- From 2019, PWR type MMR (35 MW x 2) based icebreaker ship started operation
  - "nuclear Titanic" and "Chernobyl on Ice" ?

### → Passive or inherent safety of SMR/MMR

: automatically shut down without external power and human operation



[4] Matt Muenster, Breakthrough, 2022 [5] Introduction to Nuclear Power, 1996 [6] Mike Tyler, Graphic News, 2018

#### Breakthrough.

IMO 2023: The Next Wave of International Shipping Emissions Regulations



### Introduction Parameters and materials for generation III, IV reactors

Beaster fring Coolent		Pressure	<b>T</b> / <b>T</b>	Neutron spectrum,	Cladding	Structural Materials		
Reactor type	Coolant	(MPa)	in / out	Maximum Dose (dpa)	Cladding	In-Core	Out-Core	
PWR	Water	16	290/320	Thermal, ~80	Zr alloy	Stainless steels, nicl	kel-based alloys	
BWR	Water	7	280/288	Thermal, ~7	Zircaloy	Stainless steels, nic	kel-based alloys	
VHTR	Helium	7	600/1000	Thermal, <20	SiC or ZrC coating and surrounding graphite	Graphites, PyC, Sic, ZrC, Vessel : F-M*	Ni-based superalloys, F-M, low-alloy steels	
GFR	Helium, supercritical CO <sub>2</sub>	7	450/850	Fast, 80	Ceramic	Refractory metals and alloy, ceramics, ODS* Vessel : F-M	Ni-based superalloys, F-M,	
SFR	Sodium	0.1	370/550	Fast, 200	F-M or F-M ODS	F-M, 316SS	Ferritics, austenitics	
LFR	Lead or Lead- bismuth	0.1	250/350, 600/800	Fast, 150	High-Si F-M, ODS, ceramics, refractory metals	High-Si F-M, ODS	High-Si austenitics, cera mics, refractory metals	
MSR	Molten salt	0.1	700/1000	Thermal, 200	Not applicable	Ceramics, refractory metals, high-Mo, Ni-based alloy, graphite, Hastelloy N, XM-19	High-Mo, Ni-based alloys	

GENUY International Forum

- Gen IV reactors require adequate materials compatibility with their corresponding coolants

[7] Materials challenges for nuclear systems, 2010 [8] Materials Challenges for Advanced Nuclear Energy Systems, 2009

### Introduction Liquid Pb-Bi eutectic(LBE) cooled fast reactors

Boiling Neutron Absorption Neutron Scattering Melting **Chemical reactivity** Atomic Relative **Cross Section at 1 MeV Cross Section** Coolant\* point point Moderation Mass with air and water (mbarn) (barn) (°C) (°C) 1737 Pb 207 1 6.001 6.4 327 Inert Pb-Bi 208 0.82 1.492 6.9 125 1670 Inert 1.8 23 0.23 3.2 98 883 Highly reactive Na H<sub>2</sub>O 18 421 0.1056 3.5 0 100 Inert D<sub>2</sub>O 20 49 0.0002115 2.6 0 100 Inert He 2 0.27 0.007953 3.7 -269 Inert

<Basic characteristics of selected reactor coolants [9]>

\*Coolants for molten salt reactor have various type of Fluorine(F) or Chlorine(Cl) mixture (ThF<sub>4</sub>, UF<sub>4</sub>, UCl, PuCl, Li, Be, K, etc.). Melting points are varies in 400 ~ 500°C and stay liquid while operation.

Good thermal property (passive safety) but corrosion of structural materials issue.

- Lead-based heavy liquid metals (HLM) : lead and lead-bismuth eutectic (LBE, Pb<sub>44.5</sub>Bi<sub>55.5</sub>)
   Primary coolant for fast neutron reactors
  - 1) Exhibit limited neutron moderation and absorption -> efficient fuel breeding
  - 2) Good heat transfer capability → enables the production of <u>compact</u>, <u>power-dense cores</u>
  - 3) **High boiling point / Chemically stable** with air and water / Decay heat can be removed by



**natural convection** in accidental condition **>** Inherent safety

LBE is one of the suitable SMR coolant material

[9] Neil E. Todreas et. al., Nuclear Technology, 2004

### Introduction Materials for LBE cooled fast reactors

- Candidate materials (structural / fuel cladding) in liquid LBE-cooled fast reactors
  - 1) Ferritic / martensitic steels (BCC)
    - (9-12 wt.% Cr steels with 0.1-0.2 wt.% C and addition of Mo, V, etc.)
    - Good mechanical properties in elevated temperature (550 610 °C)
    - Excellent resistance to void swelling
    - Low ductile-to-brittle transition temperature
    - Moderate corrosion resistance due to Cr content
    - Liquid metal embrittlement (LME) issue

#### 2) Austenitic stainless steels (FCC)

(STS 304L, 316L, 316 Ti, 15-15Ti(1.4970), etc.)

- Good performance at relatively low operation temperature (350 475 °C)
- Irradiation-induced void swelling could occur at high irradiation doses
- Liquid metal corrosion (LMC) issue

#### 3) Other materials

- (Oxide dispersion strengthened steels, refractory metals, SiC matrix composites, etc.)
- Although its superior properties, manufacturing process, and reliability should be verified



### Introduction Degradation phenomena of materials on LBE cooled fast reactors

- Candidate materials (austenitic / ferritic steels) in LFR are <u>not fully compatible</u> with high temperature liquid LBE coolants
- Major degradation phenomena on LFR materials
  - 1) Liquid metal corrosion (LMC)
    - Oxidation : LBE penetrates into the grain / twin boundaries
    - Dissolution corrosion : selective leaching of Ni
    - → Dense / thin oxide layer can prevent LMC Note : active oxygen control (Co  $\approx 10^{-7} - 10^{-6}$  wt. %) is essential
    - → Alloying elements in candidate materials can produce stable oxide layer Note : mechanical / irradiation properties of bulk material must be verified
    - → Surface coatings on candidate materials can produce stable oxide layer Note : reliability of coated layer and its properties must be verified
  - 2) Liquid metal embrittlement (LME)
    - Loss of ductility of materials in contact with liquid LBE below 450°C
    - → Ferritic steels are more susceptible to LME than austenitic steels
    - → Mechanism of LME is not clearly revealed



[10] Xing G et. al., Progress in Materials Science, 2022 [11] F.J. Martin-Munoz et.al., Journal of Nuclear Materials, 2011



### Introduction Major alloying element to prevent LMC



<Alloying element concentration vs. weight loss in LBE, [12]>Ellingham diagram for metal/metal oxide systems, [12]>



[12] I.V. Gorynin et al., Heavy Liquid Metal Coolant in Nuclear Technology, 1999

### Introduction Representative LMC resistant material

Alumina Forming Austenite (AFA)	Fe-Cr-Si	Fe-Cr-Al		
Corrosion resistance : Cr, Al, Ti	Corrosion resistance : Cr, Si	Corrosion resistance : Cr, Al, Y		
<ul> <li>Austenitic phase (FCC)</li> <li>good compatibility with austenitic base metal</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ferritic / martensitic (BCC) phase</li> <li>high strength</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Ferritic / martensitic (BCC) phase</li> <li>high strength</li> </ul>		
Possibility of Ni dissolution	Irradiation embrittlement	Low weldability		
AFA, UNIST	Fe-Cr-Si, MIT	Fe-Cr-Al, PNU 2000 µm 136Y-1 [14]		
Fe-15Cr-18Ni-1.2Mo-1.54Mn-0.38Si- <b>3Al-0.4Ti</b> -0.1C	Fe-12Cr- <b>2Si</b>	Fe-13Cr- <b>6AI-0.15Y</b>		



### Missing parts in current study **Development of LMC resistant material – manufacturing barrier / Code register**



(a) Pressure-retaining material shall conform to the re-

quirements of one of the specifications for material given

in Section II, Part D, Subpart 1, Tables 2A and 2B,

(1) Pressure-retaining material shall conform to the

and IIB.

[16]

ΠA

17

requirements of one of the specifications for material

given in KEPIC-MDP, Appendices

[15]

- (ex. 10 mm OD, 1 mm thickness)
- Single metal tube (EP-823(Fe-Cr-Si system), Russia)
- $\rightarrow$  Cannot be used in US, Korea
  - (Not registered to ASME, KEPIC Code)

[13] R.G. Ballinger, SMR Workshop on MMR, 2016 [14] G Kim et al., ICONE22, 2014 [15] ASME BPVC NB, 2021 [16] KEPIC MNB, 2020

### Approach Development of LMC resistant material – manufacturing barrier / Code register



(f)	Th	erequ	lirei	men	tsoft	his Art	ticle	e do r	notapp	oly to	hard
surfa	cing	gorco	orro	sion	-resis	tant w	reld	met	alovei	lay th	natis
10%	or	less	of	the	thick	ness	of	the	base	mate	erial
(NB-3	312	2).									[15]

MNB(2020 Ed.)

(6) The requirements of <u>MNB 2000 do not apply to</u> hard surfacing or corrosion-resistant weld metal overlay that is 10% or less of the thickness of the base material (MNB 3122). <sup>[16]</sup>



- Nevertheless, <u>unregistered material also can be used if the clad material</u> has 10 % or less thickness as base metal

[15] ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Section III NB, 2021 [16] KEPIC MNB, 2020

GE

### Approach Metal additive manufacturing technology

- 1) Powder bed fusion (PBF)
  - Thermal energy selectively fuses regions of a powder bed
- 2) Directed energy deposition (DED)
- Focused thermal energy is used to fuse materials by melting as the material is deposited



[17] KISTI Report(ISBN 978-89-294-0893-0 93550), 2016 [18] T. DebRoy et al., Progress in Materials Science, 2018

### Approach Metal additive manufacturing technology

- 1) Powder bed fusion (PBF)
  - Thermal energy selectively fuses regions of a powder bed
- 2) Directed energy deposition (DED)
- Focused thermal energy is used to fuse materials by melting as the material is deposited



<Powder bed fusion> [19]



<Directed energy deposition> [20, 21]



For <u>accurate surface coating</u> of metal AM on existing material, <u>DED process</u> can be selected

[19] Solid Concepts, Additive Manufacturing [20] BeAM Metal 3D Printing [21] RPM Innovations, INC., Additive Manufacturing

### Approach Surface coating process excluding DED

- 1) Chemical vapor deposition (CVD) / Physical vapor deposition (PVD)
- Produce thin coated layer with various target materials
- Slow Deposition rate (CVD: 11 µm/hr, PVD: 660 µm/hr) compared to other processes
- 2) Electro plating / electroless plating
  - Coating processes that have been applied to various industry parts (proven)
  - Require a large amount of chemical solution and relatively slow deposition rate (51 µm/hr)
- 3) Spraying process (cold spray, thermal spray)
  - Generally show massive deposition rate
  - Internal defect such as porosity could exist and limited mechanical bonding with substrate



[22] T. Goto, Solid State Ionics, 2004 [23] Electroplating to make nanostructures [24] K. Ross, Cold Spray Process Details and Nuclear Applications, 2021

### Approach





22

### **Objective**

Surface coating of LMC resistant material <u>manufactured by DED process</u>





Study on LMC resistant clad material manufactured by DED process

- Microstructure examination, hardness, high temp. tensile test
- General corrosion, high temp. LBE exposure test
- → Propose optimized DED parameters for LMC resistant materials and report evaluation results

### **Experimental procedures Apparatus for DED process**

- Existing DED equipment are not sufficient for the fuel cladding dimension (min. 1 m long tube)
   → Designed with consideration the fuel cladding coating
- Z axis (500mm) Laser YLS - 2000 Fiber/Diode Laser Cooler Y axis (1,000mm) Control system Head Module X axis (500mm) Dia. 10 – 20 mm, Length 1,000mm Rotating table CAM Software - NC based universal controller - Parameter control (laser, powder, gas) **GEN(IV** International Forum - Data transfer Optical head 24 Nozzle Expertise | Collaboration | Excellence Powder supply 🐼 🚺 💴 🚺 🚺 💿 🐹 🚃 🔀 🕂 💥 📟 Printing route

### Experimental procedures Apparatus for DED process - specification

Manufacturing Equipment for Multi-metallic Layer Materials					
<ul> <li>Laser generator</li> <li>1) IPG Fiber laser</li> <li>2) Wavelength range : 1,030 - 1,080 nm</li> <li>3) Max. output power : 1 kW</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Laser head</li> <li>1) Directed Energy Deposition, 1mm Beam</li> <li>2) 3 Type Coaxial Nozzle</li> </ul>				
⊖ External water cooling unit	<ul> <li>Powder supply equipment</li> <li>1) Hopper : 3EA (0.5L)</li> <li>2) Ar gas transfer</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Main body</li> <li>1) Gantry type 3 Axis (X/Y/Z) + U Axis Machine</li> <li>2) Build Size : 1 000 x500 x 500 mm</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>3) Powder feed rate : 0.1 - 6 g/min</li> <li>5) Powder feed accuracy : (3 g/min condition) ± 0.5 g/min</li> <li>6) Available powder size : 10 - 200 μm</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>2) Build Size : 1,000 x500 x 500 mm</li> <li>3) Repeatability : under ±0.1mm</li> <li>4) Permission Size of work piece: 1,000 x 500 mm</li> <li>5) Permission Mass of work piece: over 1,000 kg</li> <li>6) Traverse speed : 20 m/min</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Software</li> <li>1) Universal control (Windows based HMI Program)</li> <li>2) *.stl compatible and instant design software</li> <li>3) Laser, transfer mode, powder, gas real time control</li> </ul>				



### **Experimental procedures Apparatus for DED process**



Gantry frame



Laser generator (1 kW Fiber Laser) GEN(IV International Forum Expertise | Collaboration | Excellence 💿 💽 🔚 💽 🚺 🔹 📨 💳 🔀 👯 📟

- \*\*



Outlook of the apparatus



Laser head / nozzle

### Experimental procedures Powder manufacturing for DED process

- Target alloy billets are produced by vacuum induction melting process
- Alloy billets are converted into metal powders using gas atomizing process
  - 1) Under 10<sup>-2</sup> torr vacuum environment, melt the alloy billets using MgO crucible
  - 2) Overheating (1650°C), Ar gas spray (50 70 bar)
  - 3) Sieve to 45 150  $\mu$ m size
- Powder characterization : particle size, angle of repose, apparent density,

tap density, Hausner Ratio, flowability





Alloy billets





Gas atomizing to produce metal powder



Overview of gas atomizing process



Sieve 27

### **Experimental procedures Powder** manufacturing for DED process



Average particle size : AFA: 132 μm, Fe-Cr-Si: 122 μm STS 316L (commercial DED powder): 45 - 150 μm

	Fe	Cr	Ni	Мо	Mn	Si	AI	Ti	С	0
STS 316L	Bal.	16.80	10.4	2.20	1.60	0.94	-	-	0.019	-
AFA	Bal.	15.75	15.5	1.27	1.53	0.54	3.54	0.13	0.063	0.008
Fe-Cr-Si	Bal.	13.25	-	-	-	2.70	-	-	0.071	0.030
Substrate (STS316L)	Bal.	16.18	10.1	2.05	1.06	0.60	-	-	0.018	-

	Method	AFA	Fe-Cr-Si
Angle of repose (°)		32.5	31.0
Apparent density (g/cm³)	ASTM B 212/417/329/703, MPIF 04	4.181	4.254
Tap density (g/cm³)	ASTM B 527, MPIF 46	4.762	4.854
Hausner Ratio	Hausner ratio         Flow character           1.00-1.11         Excellent           1.12-1.18         Good           1.19-1.25         Fair           1.26-1.34         Passable           1.35-1.45         Poor	1.14	1.14
Flowbility (sec./50g)	Flowbility (sec./50g) ASTM B 213, MPIF 03		

#### Powders of LMC resistant materials are produced and evaluated for the use of DED process

28

GE

### **Experimental procedures DED coated layer characterization - parameter optimization**



- Major parameters in DED : laser power(kW), laser scan speed(mm/s), powder feed rate(g/min)
- Laser power : 0.4, 0.5, 0.6 kW
- Laser scan speed : 12, 14, 16 mm/s
- Powder feed rate : 6.0 g/min (fix)
- Shield gas : Ar

Condition	Laser power (kW)	Scan speed (mm/s)	Line energy input (J/mm)
1	0.4	12	33.33
2	0.5	12	41.67
3	0.6	12	50.00
4	0.4	14	28.57
5	0.5	14	35.71
6	0.6	14	42.86
7	0.4	16	25.00
8	0.5	16	31.25
9	0.6	16	37.50

#### **DED** parameters

### Experimental procedures DED coated layer characterization – analysis of coated layer



[25] G Kim et al., Development of Fe–Cr–Si deposited layer manufactured by laser directed energy deposition process, JMRT, 2024

### **Results and discussions DED coated layer characterization - parameter optimization**



Dilution, width and height of single-line clad can be acquired (low dilution is preferred)

31

GEN

### **Results and discussions DED coated layer characterization - parameter optimization**

Component behavior of AFA single-line clads according to the heat input (EPMA)



### **Results and discussions DED coated layer characterization - parameter optimization**

Component behavior of Fe-Cr-Si single-line clads according to the heat input (EPMA)



GENUY International Forum

Fe-Cr-Si clad show the differential components distribution (especially **Ni dilution**)

### **Results and discussions DED coated layer characterization - parameter optimization**

#### Surface coated layer characterization

- Laser power : 0.4, 0.5, 0.6 kW
- Laser scan speed : 12, 14, 16 mm/s
- Powder feed rate : 6.0 g/min (fix)



90° rotation



Coated surfaces show the line-remaining morphology

### Results and discussions DED coated layer characterization - parameter optimization

Surface coated layer characterization – surface XRD analysis





Substrate(316L), STS316L layer, AFA layer : FCC (austenitic) Fe-Cr-Si layer : BCC (ferritic / martensitic)

| 🔹 🚃 🔀 🕂 💥 💷

### **Results and discussions DED coated layer characterization - parameter optimization**

Cross-section analysis of each deposition conditions (STS 316L)





No. ④ Condition : No defect, moderate deposited thickness

• 🔅 🚃 🚬 🕂 💥 💻

### **Results and discussions DED coated layer characterization - parameter optimization**

**Cross-section analysis of each deposition conditions (AFA)** 





No. ④ Condition : No defect, moderate deposited thickness

### **Results and discussions DED** coated layer characterization - parameter optimization

**Cross-section analysis of each deposition conditions (Fe-Cr-Si)** 





No. ④ Condition : No defect, moderate deposited thickness

### **Results and discussions DED coated layer characterization - microstructures**

Composition line analysis of each clad material



### **Results and discussions DED coated layer characterization - microstructures**

Phase transformation behavior of coated layers (EBSD)





- AFA coated layer : FCC
- Fe-Cr-Si coated layer : BCC
- 1) First layer deformation↑ & dislocation density↑
- 2) Second layer deformation  $\downarrow$  & dislocation density  $\downarrow$

\*IPF: inverse pole figure, PM: phase map, KAM: kernel average misorientation

Expertise | Collaboration | Excellence

GEN

International Forum

### **Results and discussions DED coated layer characterization - hardness**

Hardness distribution of coated layers (Vickers hardness test)





STS 316L, AFA : showed similar hardness throughout the layers including substrate (FCC phase)Fe-Cr-Si : Ferritic / martensitic BCC phase shows over 400 Hv

### **Results and discussions DED coated layer characterization – tensile test**

Tensile test of coated layers (R.T, 300 °C, 600 °C)



STS 316L, AFA : 600 ℃ results show lower tensile strength and higher elongation than 300 ℃ results

Fe-Cr-Si : Significant drop of tensile strength in 600 °C but elongation recovery occurred

### **Results and discussions DED coated layer characterization – tensile test**

#### **Tensile test: AFA**

Coated layer	Temp. (℃)	YS (MPa)	TS (MPa)	E (%)
AFA	Room temp.	501	663	22.1
	300	442	481	17.4
	600	334	398	26.4



**GEN(IV** International Forum Expertise | Collaboration | Excellence



- High temp. : reduced Y.S, T.S ; but decreased elongation at 300  $^\circ\!\!C$ 

- Fracture surface of 300 ℃ specimen

: huge oxide  $(Al_2O_3)$  formed

; may act as crack initiation site

- Fracture surface : dimple + cleavage

### **Results and discussions DED coated layer characterization – tensile test**

#### **Tensile test: Fe-Cr-Si**

Coated layer	Temp. (℃)	YS (MPa)	TS (MPa)	E (%)
Fe-Cr -Si	Room temp.	681	857	10.1
	300	672	821	13.9
	600	260	327	30.5



- High temp. : reduced Y.S, T.S ; but decreased elongation at 300  $^\circ\!\!C$
- Fracture surface of 300 ℃ specimen
- : huge oxide (SiO2) formed
- ; may act as crack initiation site

- Fracture surface : dimple + cleavage



### Results and discussions DED coated layer characterization – general corrosion

#### General corrosion test of coated layers

 Potentiodynamic polarization test in 0.5M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution (test range : - 0.5 V ~ 1.5 V(open circuit), 0.833 mV/sec)

Coated layer	E <sub>corr</sub> (mV vs. Ag/AgCl)	I <sub>corr</sub> (nA/cm²)	Corrosion rate (mm/yr)
STS 316L 24.4		111.0	0.051
AFA -203.0		270.0	0.123
Fe-Cr-Si 14.6		38.2	0.017







AFA : lower corrosion potential and higher corrosion rate than 316L and Fe-Cr-Si Fe-Cr-Si : lowest corrosion rate, passive films are more stable than 316L and AFA

### **Results and discussions DED coated layer characterization – LBE exposure test**

### High temperature LBE exposure test

- Specimen size : 10 x 12 x 2t mm
- Temperature : 550 ℃
- Oxygen concentration : 1e-7 wt.%
- Exposure time : 500 hr, 2000 hr



500 hr exposure



Analysis : XRD (phase identification)

TEM (microstructure evaluation of oxide layer and corrosion area) EDS (Chemical composition of the oxide layer and corrosion area)



### Results and discussions DED coated layer characterization – LBE exposure test

#### High temperature LBE exposure test

- XRD Phase analysis after 2000 h exposure



XRD results of STS 316L/AFA/Fe-Cr-Si coated specimen exposed for 2,000 hours in LBE environment at 550 °C

47

### **Results and discussions DED coated layer characterization – LBE exposure test**

#### High temperature LBE exposure test

- TEM analysis after 500, 2000 h exposure (AFA)





### **Results and discussions DED coated layer characterization – LBE exposure test**

#### High temperature LBE exposure test

- TEM analysis after 500 , 2000 h exposure (Fe-Cr-Si)





### **Results and discussions DED coated layer characterization – LBE exposure test**



TEM-map EDS results of DED coated specimen exposed for 2,000 hours in LBE environment at 550  $^\circ \!\!\!\! C$ 

[26] Experiment data from UNIST, [27] M. P. Short et. al., Journal of Nuclear Materials, 2013

o 🚺 🔹 💓 🔚 💥 🔜

50

1500

2000

2500

### **Conclusion Optimization of DED process parameters**

#### Suggest the optimized DED process parameter

Metal powder

- Particle size (μm) : 45 150 in diameter (average : 120 130)
- Angle of repose (°) : max. 33
- Hausner Ratio : 1 1.1
- Flowability (sec./50g) : max. 14

#### **DED** parameter

- Laser power : 0.4 kW
- Laser scan speed : 14 mm/s
- Powder feed rate : 6.0 g/min
- Overlap between the interpass : 0.5 mm

Condition	Laser power (kW)	Scan speed (mm/s)	Line energy input (J/mm)
1	0.4	12	33.33
2	0.5	12	41.67
3	0.6	12	50.00
4	0.4	14	28.57
5	0.5	14	35.71
6	0.6	14	42.86
7	0.4	16	25.00
8	0.5	16	31.25
9	0.6	16	37.50

51

**DED** parameters



Optimized DED parameters (including powder requirements) were suggested for defect-free and restricted base metal dilution

### Conclusion DED coating to final dimension pipe

Rotating fixture for tube coating : overcome the barriers of post processing and Code & Standard







DED equipment for fuel cladding surface coating has been prepared

### Conclusion DED coating to final dimension pipe





53

### Conclusion DED coating to final dimension pipe

• Overcome the barriers of post processing and Code & Standard



Feasibility verified to build thin-surface coating of LBE corrosion resistant materials on the final size of fuel cladding using rotating tube DED process with optimized process variables



### Conclusion DED coating to final dimension pipe







55

## **Summary and future work**

- Proposal of methodology for surface coating technology using DED technique
- Optimization of coating layer manufacturing process of LBE corrosion resistant materials
- Conducting various property evaluations of the DED coated layer
- Microstructures and composition evaluation
- Mechanical properties : Hardness, (high-temp.) tensile test
- Corrosion property
- High-temp. LBE exposure test



#### Implications and future work

- Various data could be the basis for the use of coated layer to materials for SMR
- For Code registration of developed materials, more mechanical properties are required (more high temperature tensile data, creep and fatigue data, etc.)
- To predict the life of the coated layer, more detailed LBE exposure test (including flowing LBE test) shall be conducted and verified
- The methodology for inner coating shall also be developed



## **Upcoming Webinars**

Date	Title	Presenter
31 July 2024	Online monitoring development in support of the nuclear fuel cycle	Amanda Lines and Sam Bryan, PNNL, USA
28 August 2024	International Molten Salt Research in Support of MSR Development	Aslak Stubsgaard, Denmark Isabelle Morlaes, France Ed Pheil USA Markus Piro, Canada Jeremy Pearson, USA
September 2024	Overview and Update of Sodium Fast Reactor Activities within the Gen IV International Forum	Yoshitaka Chikazawa, JAEA, Japan

