

MOX FUEL FOR ADVANCED REACTORS

Nathalie Chauvin CEA, France 28 January 2021



Meet the Presenter



Nathalie Chauvin is working at CEA Cadarache IRESNE in the fuel Studies Department International Expert on fuels for fast reactors. She worked for a long time on the minor Actinides transmutation program, participating to the optimization of the fuel design, the irradiation experiments and the synthesis reports. Then she was project manager for the development of very innovative fuels for the Gas cooled Fast Reactor with oxide/carbide fuels, refractory cladding including ceramic composites one for pin or plate type fuel element. She is now in charge of international cooperations devoted to fast reactor fuels development as 1) Chair of the Working Party on the Fuel Cycle at OECD/Nuclear Science Committee; 2) Chair of the Expert Group on Innovative Fuel at OECD/NSC/WPFC; 3) GIF French representative in the GFR system – Fuels & material; 4) Project manager of PUMMA (Plutonium Management for More Agility at EURATOM); 5) Leader of fuel properties workpackage in the project ESFR-SMART; 6) French representative in the CRP on Fuels and Materials for Fast Reactors at the IAEA. She is also participating in several activities in different scientific committees of international conferences (IEMPT, FR GLOBAL), and she is the CEA counterpart in several bilateral collaborations with other international scientific organizations devoted to MOX fuel.

Email: nathalie.chauvin@cea.fr

Nuclear Materials for Gen IV Reactors



3

	Gen II/III LWR	SCWR	SFR	LFR	ADS	GFR	VHTR	MSR
Fuel +MA	UO₂, MOX Th-MOX	UO₂, MOX Th-MOX	UPuO₂ UPuZr UPuN UPuC	UPuO ₂ UPuN	U free fuel, Inert Matrix Fuel	UPuO ₂ UPuC	UO ₂ ,UCO PuO2 (Zr,Y,Pu)O ₂	LiF-ThF ₄ -UF ₄
Cladding	Zr alloy	F/M steel	15/15Ticw T91 ODS	T91	T91	SiC-SiCf	iPyC/SiC/o PyC	
Liner	-	-	-	-	-	W W/Re	Buf Carbon	Structures
Fuel form	Pellet	Pellet	Pellet (Sphere Pac)	Pellet (Sphere Pac)	Pellet (Sphere Pac)	Plate Pin	Coated Particle	Fluid
Coolant	Water	Water	Na	Pb	Pb or Pb/Bi	Не	He	NaF-NaBF ₄

Operating Conditions in Fast Reactors



- High linear heat rate: 400 to 500 W/cm max
- High fuel temperature 600 to 2400°C for (U,Pu)O₂
 ~1000°C for (U,Pu)Zr
- High burnup 130 GWd/t or 15 at %
- Residence time >800 days or >130 dpa



Criteria for Choice of Fuel Materials



- Material properties
 - High density of fissile atoms
 - High thermal conductivity and high melting point + high thermal stability
 - \rightarrow High margin to melt
 - \rightarrow No phase transition, no dissociation,
 - High mechanical stability
 - Isotropic expansion, radiation resistant
 - Acceptable chemical compatibility with cladding and coolant: no strong reaction
- Performances for evaluation
 - High burn-up and flexibility towards operation conditions
 - Behaviour during transients & accidents
 - Fuel Cycle :
 - Flexibility towards fuel cycle options (Pu and Minor Actinides management)
 - Cost of fabrication and reprocessing

CONTENT



Main features of mixed oxide fuel for advanced reactors

- Characteristics of the material
- Fuel properties
- Comparison of (U,Pu)O₂ properties under irradiation with the others fuels
- Fuel element design with (U,Pu)O₂

Fuel behaviour under irradiation

- Main features of fuel behaviour
- Evolution of fuel microstructure and composition
- Thermo-mechanical behaviour
- Behaviour during accident

Fuel element performances, design and qualification

- Fuel element performances
- Improvement in the design and qualification of MOX pins
- Qualification of fuel performance codes

Synthesis & Conclusion



PART 1 : Main Features of Mixed Oxide Fuel for Advanced Reactors

Cadarache facilities: LEFCA



LECA



_ 1

Structure of mixed oxides $(U_{1-y}Pu_y)O_{2\pm x} \xrightarrow{} GE \xrightarrow{}$ International Face Centred Cubic (fcc) : fluorite type



Lattice parameter depends on x and y

- U Pu substitutions : from 0% to 100% (theoretical)
- Non stoichiometry in actinide oxides
 - x < 0 : O vacancies or An interstitials (or mixture)
 - x > 0 : O interstitials or An vacancies (or mixture)

MOX fuel : microstructure & fabrication

Powder metallurgy Pore former process JAEA



Powder metallurgy COCA process CEA



10 µm

SOLGEL process

JRC - Karlsruhe



- Microstructure : grain size, density, porosity shape and size
- Microstructure depends on fabrication process

AIEA –TECDOC n°1689 "Design, manufacturing and ^{10 µm} irradiation behavior of fast reactor fuel".



- O/M < 1,98 and T<1100K and Pu>18% with possible phases : $(U,Pu)O_2$, $(U,Pu)O_{2\pm x}$, $(U,Pu)_2O_3$,
- 1,98 < O/M < 2,0 or T>1100K : fcc solid solution

MOX properties : NEEDS FOR FUEL PERFORMANCE CODES



	Parameters of influence / (Range of interest)					st)	
(U-Pu)O ₂ properties / models of interest	Temperature (293 - boiling)	Pu/M ratio (15 – 35%)	O/M ratio (1.94 – 2.00)	Fract. porosity (0 – 40%)	Grain size (4 – 30 μm)	Stress (1 – 100 MPa)	Burn up (0-125 GWd/t)
Lattice parameter	Х	Х	Х				Х
Thermal conductivity	Х	Х	Х	Х			Х
Melting point		Х	Х				Х
Specific heat capacity	Х	Х	Х				Х
Enthalpy of fusion		Х	Х				Х
Emissivity	Х	Х	Х				Х
Theoretical density	Х	Х	Х				
Thermal expansion	Х	Х	Х				Х
Elastic constants	Х	Х	Х	Х			
Brittle-to-ductile transition temperature		Х	Х	Х			
Yield stress, ultimate stress	X	Х	Х	Х			
Thermal creep	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Diffusion / migration of pores, of fission gas, of oxygen, of U, of Pu	Х	Х	Х				
Oxygen potential	Х	Х	Х				X
Grain growth	X			Х	Х		

New measurements expected

Comparison of fuel properties during irradiation



Properties	(U0.8Pu0.2)O2	(U0.8 Pu0.2)C	(U0.8Pu0.2)N	U-19Pu-10Zr
Theoretical density, g·cc	11.04	13.58	14.32	15.73
Melting point, K	3083	2750	3070	1400
Thermal conductivity, (W·m ⁻¹ ·K ⁻¹) at 1000−2000 K	2.6–2.4	18.8–21.2	15.8–20.1	40–40
Crystal structure	Fluoride	Nacl	Nacl	Alfa
Breeding ratio	1.1-1.15	1.2-1.25	1.2-1.25	1.35-1.4
Swelling	Moderate	High	Moderate	High
Handling	Easy	Pyrophoric	Inert	Inert
Compatibility: clad Compatibility: coolant	Average Average	Carburisation Good	Good Good	Eutectics Good
Dissolution and reprocessing	Good	Demonstrated	Risk of C14	Amenable for pyro reprocessing
Fabrication/irradiation experience	Large and good	Limited	Very little	Limited

GIF – "Advanced Sodium Fast Reactor (SFR) Fuel Comparison », March 2009.

Metal fuel



Properties	(U0.8Pu0.2)O2	(U0.8 Pu0.2)C	(U0.8Pu0.2)N	U-19Pu-10Zr				
Theoretical density, g∙c	c 11.04	13.58	14.32	15.73				
Melting point, K	3083	2750	3070	1400				
Thermal conductivity, $(W \cdot m^{-1} \cdot K^{-1})$ at	Low melting tem High thermal con	perature ductivity	8 20 1	40-40				
1000–2000 K	High swelling : la	High swelling : large gap + metal						
Crystal structure	bond		Nacl	Alfa				
Breeding ratio	Eutectic with clac	ł	2–1.25	1.35-1.4				
Swelling	wioderate	mgn	woderate	High				
Handling	Easy	Pyrophoric	Inert	Inert				
Compatibility: clad	Average	Carburisation	Good	(Eutectics)				
Compatibility: coolant	Average	Good	Good	Good				
Dissolution and reprocessing	Good	Demonstrated	Risk of C14	Amenable for pyro reprocessing				
Fabrication/irradiation experience	Large and good	Limited	Very little	Limited				

Carbide fuel



Properties	(U0.8Pu0.2)O2	(U0.8 Pu0.2)C	(U0.8Pu0.2)N	U-19Pu-10Zr	
Theoretical density, g·cc	11.04	13.58	High melting	temperature + high	
Melting point, K	3083	2750	thermal cond	ductivity:	
Thermal conductivity, (W·m ⁻¹ ·K ⁻¹) at 1000–2000 K	2.6–2.4	18.8-21.2	High margin Moderate th	to melt ermal creep	
Crystal structure	Fluoride	Nacl	High swelling	g (to be managed	
Breeding ratio	1.1-1.15	1.2-1.21	with Na bond or low thermal level		
Swelling	Moderate	High	or reduced B	urn Up)	
Handling	Easy	Pyrophoric	Fabrication c	omplex, costly	
Compatibility: clad	Average	Carburisation	Good	Eutectics	
Compatibility: coolant	Average	Good	Good	Good	
Dissolution and reprocessing	Good	Demonstrated	Risk of C14	Amenable for pyro reprocessing	
Fabrication/irradiation	Large and good	Limited	Very little	Limited	

Nitride fuel



Properties		(U0.8Pu0.2)O2	(U0.8 Pu0.2)C	(U0.8Pu0.2)N	U-19Pu-10Zr
Theoretical densi	ty, g∙cc	11.04	13.58	14.32	15.73
Melting point, K	High me	lting tempera	3070	1400	
Thermal conduct (W·m ⁻¹ ·K ⁻¹) at 1000–2000 K	High ma dissocia	rgin to melt b tion at 1800K	ut possible 🥆	15.8-20.1	40–40
Crystal structure	machan	ical interaction	Nacl	Alfa	
Breeding ratio	Mechan		1.2-1.25	1.35-1.4	
Swelling	Moderate swelling			(Moderate)	High
Handling		Easy	Pyrophoric	Inert	Inert
Compatibility: cl	ad	Average	Carburisation	Good	Eutectics
Compatibility: coolant Average		Average	Good	Good	Good
Dissolution and reprocessing		Good	Demonstrated	Risk of C14	Amenable for pyro reprocessing
Fabrication/irradi experience	iation	Large and good	Limited	Very little	Limited

Oxide fuel



Properties	(U0.8Pu0.2)O2	(U0.8 Pu0.2)C	(U0.8Pu0.2)N	U-19Pu-10Zr
Theoretical density, g·cc	11.04	13.58	14.32	15.73
Melting point, K Thermal conductivity, (W·m ⁻¹ ·K ⁻¹) at 1000–2000 K Crystal structure	3083 2.6–2.4 Fluoride	High mo Low the High ma High th	elting tempe ermal conduc argin to melt ermal creep	rature ctivity (low mechanical interaction
Breeding ratio	1.1-1.15	1.2 with cla	ad)	
Swelling	(Moderate)	Low sw	elling : pin de	esign easier
Handling	Easy	Pyrophoric	Inert	Inert
Compatibility: clad	Average	Carburisation	Good	Eutectics
Compatibility: coolant	Average	Good	Good	Good
Dissolution and reprocessing	Good	Demonstrated	Risk of C14	Amenable for pyro reprocessing
Fabrication/irradiation experience	Large and good	Limited	Very little	Limited

Melting temperature of (U,Pu)O2

- Existence of a minimum around 60-70% Pu content to be confirmed
- Disparity of measurements above 60% of Pu (200 K deviation for PuO₂)
- O / M impact to be evaluated
 - CALPHAD evaluation under estimates solidus.
 - The existing law for melting temperature should be revised following all these and other recent results.
- Needs for additional measurements :
 - →high Pu content
 →Effect of O/M
 →Pu% for the lowest T_{melting} (safety analysis)



Thermal conductivity of (U,Pu)O₂

- Strong effect of temperature, O/M, Pu content density, irradiation :
 - \rightarrow Discrepancy between the laws of λ
 - \rightarrow main source of uncertainty on the fuel temperature
- Intensive European experimental programme:







R. Konings, FJOH 2009

J. Somers, FJOH 2013

T. Wiss, FJOH 2016



Potential fuel elements (2/2) CEXID International Forum[®] **Fuel elements** Standard pin Coated particles Plate fuel With vipac fuel or spherepac fuel IPVC (1.8 - 2.0 glene) CH + C.H.) + ANH PYC (18 - 2.0 plon? UH + CHU + ArHe FLEL RERHEL Vibropacking Two ceramic plates close a honeycomb structure containing SIC (P 3.15 g/cm²) cylindrical fuel pellets CH-SICL+Arete PVC inument : 10 plan (C)H + C H + Arite TRISO FUEL COATED PARTICLE 10 + 1



PART 2 : Fuel Behaviour Under Irradiation



Fuel behaviour : real life

(Complete) view of how phenomenons interact Complex system with coupled effects





Safety evaluation

- Margin to clad failure
- Margin to fuel melt

•Ref. Manning et al., MMSNF conference

MOX behaviour : microstructure & composition evolution (1/2)







CEA-LECA



- Atomic diffusion (thermal and athermal) of O, U and Pu (bulk diffusion)
- Grain boundary and surface diffusion
- •Vaporisation condensation (pore diffusion)



-500 -550

-600

- Chemical state of the fuel depends strongly of the oxygen chemical potential of (U_{1-y}Pu_y)O_{2-x} that increases during irradiation. Fission is oxidizing.
- Modification of physical and chemical properties of the irradiated fuel (FP in solution, oxides precipitates, metallic precipitates)
- Formation of :
- → **JOG** (oxide/clad joint) : Cs_2MoO_4 + others compounds
- \rightarrow FCCI (Fuel Clad Chemical Interaction) or corrosion: Te, I, Cs reacts with clad (Fe, Ni, Cr): Cs₂CrO₄, FeTe_{0.9}, NiTe_{0.6}

MOX behaviour : effects of the irradiation International Forum^{**} **Clad and fuel evolution** Clad CLAD SWELLING neutrons FUEL-CLAD CHEMICAL INTERACTION (FCCI or corrosion) Cs. Te. I FISSION PRODUCTS JOINT (JOG) Pd, Mo, Te, Cs, I, O + Rb, Cd, Sn, ... FUEL GASEOUS SWELLING & GAS RELEASE Xe, Kr FUEL SOLID SWELLING Sr, Zr, La, Ce, Nd FUEL PROPERTIES EVOLUTION all FP + fuel damage Hyp. 316 (1976)



1.800





MOX behaviour : effects of the irradiation International Forum^{**} Clad and fuel evolution Clad CLAD SWELLING neutrons FUEL-CLAD CHEMICAL INTERACTION (FC) or corrosion) Cs. Te. I FISSION PRODUCTS JOINT (JOC Pd, Mo, Te, Cs, I, O + Rb, Cd, Sn, ... FUEL GASEOUS SWELLING & GAS RELEASE Xe, Kr FUEL SOLID SWELLING Sr, Zr, La, Ce, Nd FUEL PROPERTIES EVOLUTION all FP + fuel damage Centre Periphery CEA-LECA Retention FG (cm³/g) τ δ δ Production Central hole ' 2 µm BU max. : 12 ha% Retention Retention (average value): 0,4 cm³ NTP/g **EPMA** EBSD TEM MEB-FIB EPMA meas. 0 Noirot et al, Nuc. Eng. Tech., 50, 2018 3 0 30 Pellet radius (mm)

MOX behaviour : effects of the irradiation International Forum[®] **Clad and fuel evolution** Clad CLAD SWELLING neutrons FUEL-CLAD CHEMICAL INTERACTION (FCCI or corrosion, Cs, Te, I FISSION PRODUCTS JOINT (JOG) Pd, Mo, Te, S, I, O + Rb, Cd, Sn, ... FUEL GASEOUS SWELLING & AS RELEASE Xe, Kr FUEL SOLID SWELLING Sr, Zr, La, Ce, Nd FUEL PROPERTIES EVOLUTION all FP + fuel damage y = 0.064x - 0.77 $\Delta V/V \sim 0,6 \% / at\%$ ∆V/V % 31

Burn-up GWd/t

MOX behaviour : effects of the irradiation



Clad and fuel evolution



CLAD SWELLING neutrons FUEL-CLAD CHEMICAL INTERACTION (FCCI or corrosion) Cs. Te. I FISSION PRODUCTS JOINT (JOG) Pd. Mo. Te. Cs. I. O + Rb. Cd. In. ... FUEL GASEOUS SWELLING & GAS RELEASE Xe. Kr FUEL SOLID SWELLING Sr. Zr. Ce. Nd

FUEL PROPERTIES EVOLUTION all FP + fuel damage







Onofri et al, J. Nuc. Mat., 482, 2016



Thermal behaviour of fuel element

• Objectives :



- Predict the temperature of clad and fuel with an evaluation of margin to melt
- Thermal profile in the pin



Radial



Factors affecting the temperature profile

- Thermal conductivity degradation
- · Fuel swelling
- Fuel restructuring
- Pellet-cladding interaction

Mechanical behaviour of fuel element



Relocation displacement induced by pellet fragmentation





- Predict dimensional changes: clad strain (5-10% of max. strain), gap closure
- Predict the risk of clad failure during nominal conditions or power increases
- Mechanical phenomenons
 - Fuel :

swelling, creep, mechanical properties evolution, cracking due to very high thermal gradient (5000K/cm) \rightarrow differential expansion leading to fracturing of the pellets, relocation of the fragments

- Clad:

swelling at high dose, creep (causing damage), embrittlement, loss of properties during irradiation



Mechanical behaviour of fuel element (2/2)

✓ Fuel / cladding mechanical interaction (FCMI)

In fast oxide fuels, this benign effect of FCMI during steady-state operation is a consequence of the following points:

- Low swelling rate(~0,6%/at%) of oxide as compared to carbide or metal fuels
- High fuel temperature that allows high creep rates. Even in the outer part of pellets, thermal creep and irradiation creep in oxide fuels relieve the FCMI stresses induced by fuel swelling.
- JOG formation that acts as an elastic joint (composition Cs, Mo, O, mainly Cs₂MoO₄)
- Design of fuel element in order to avoid FCMI through low smear density and with plenum to avoid primary stresses (pressure due to fission gas release)

✓Fuel /cladding chemical interaction (FCCI)

- Reduce clad thickness and thereby limiting fuel burn-up
- FCCI may be evaluated with fission products diffusion, thermodynamics of fuel/clad interface

MOX behaviour during accidents

Unexpected Control Rod Withdrawal Accident (CRWA) : slow/limited power transient (SA prevention domain: demo of pin integrity)

Unprotected Transients : transient of power or loss of flow (UTOP/ULOF) : fast/very large power transient (SA mitigation domain: demo of fuel ejection phenomena)

➤Total Instantaneous assembly inlet Blockage (TIB) : (SA mitigation domain: study of heat transfer and propagation phenomena)

Associated R&D aimed at:

- Data acquisition from separate effect or integral tests (e.g. single rod in CABRI reactor or with fuel bundle in SCARABEE : from 19 to 37 pins). Feedback exists (CABRI, TREAT, ...) but still low and expensive, so a large panel of scenarii and uncertainties still exist.
- Development of simulation codes validated on tests with complex interactions between a lot of phenomena.

	Programme	Objectives/Comments
1971-1974	SCARABEE 1 st phase	Na ebullition, fuel melt; 16 single-pin tests, 8 bundle tests (7 pins)
1976-1986	CABRI-1	CDA primary phase, 32 tests, full pellets, 316 ε steel, burnup: 0-1-2, 9-4.8 at%
1983-1990	SCARABEE-N	TIB/APL (10 tests), CDA (3 tests), fuel bundle tests (19 and 37 pins)
1986-1994	CABRI-2	CDA (9 tests) and CRWA (3 tests) Industrial fuel, full/annular pellets; 15-15 Ti clads
1992-1997	CABRI FAST	Annular pellets; CDA (5 tests), CRWA (2 tests)
1996-2001	CABRI RAFT	CDA transition phase (5 tests), fuel ejection during CRWA (2 tests)





Fuel partly melt during (CABRI – E5 test)

FJOH 2016, N. Girault ISNE 2020 C. Struzik, V. Blanc Y. Fukano et al. Journal of NUCLEAR SCIENCE and TECHNOLOGY, Vol. 46, No. 11, p. 1049–1058 (2009) I. Sato et al. NUCLEAR TECHNOLOGY VOL. 145 JAN. 2004 36

SFR MOX driver fuel : main features of the irradiation behaviour



- Microstructure, composition and properties evolution
 - Microstructure evolution early during the irradiation
 - Species transport (actinides and O migration) and oxygen potential evolution
 - Fuel gaseous and solid swelling, coupled with creep
 - Fuel properties evolution depending on : composition, density, microstructure, temperature, burn-up
 - Clad properties : creep, swelling at high burn-up/temperature, embrittlement, loss of mechanical properties under irradiation

Thermomechanical and thermochemical behaviour

- Fission gas release : effect of all parameters (T, Burn-up, fuel microstructure,...)
- Fuel to clad gap closure and Heat transfer in the fuel-clad gap
- JOG formation and axial transfert
- Pellet cracking and re-location of the fragments
- FCMI: threshold of over-power or over-temperature during transients
- Burn up linked phenomena: FCCI (clad corrosion), JOG composition



PART 3 : Fuel Element Performances, Design and Qualification



Performances of MOX Pins in SFR GF

Long experience:



- Started in BR-5 in 1957 in Russia, Rapsodie in 1967 in France, SEFOR in USA,
- Then EBR-II and FFTF in the USA, BR-10, BOR-60, BN-350, BN-600 and BN-800 in Russia, the prototype fast reactor (PFR) in the UK, Phenix and Superphenix in France, KNK and SNR-300 in Germany, JOYO and Monju in Japan, FBTR in India and Experimental Fast Reactor (CEFR) in China.

Performances in recent SFR :

→more than 20 at% (200 GWd.t-1)

- →155 dpa
- \rightarrow 550 W/cm max

Fast reactor in operation :

- BOR60, BN600, BN800 : UOx and MOX, pellet or vi-pack
- JOYO : MOX, pellet
- FBTR : carbide and MOX experimental pins and PFBR : MOX, pellet
- CEFR : UOX, pellet

Standard I	MOX fuel		Experimental fue	el
No. of pins	Burnup	Max.	Main reactors	Type of fuel
irradiated	reached	burnup		pellets
	MW·d·t ⁻¹	$MW \cdot d \cdot t^{-1}$		
265000	135000	200000	PHÉNIX, PFR,	Solid&annular
			KNK-II	
64000	130000	200000	FFTF	Leading pins
50000	100000	120000	JOYO	Solid
13000	135000	240000	BOR-60	Vibro-pac
1800	100000	-	BN-350	Solid&annular
1500	100000	-	BN-600	Solid&annular

Improvements in the Fuel Element Design

- Improvements on the geometry:
 - Annular pellet (for safety improvement : BOL & transients)
 - large pin diameter
 - Axially heterogeneous pin (safety improvement)
- Large range of composition :
 - Uranium: natural, depleted, reprocessed
 - Plutonium: 15 to 45%, several grades (ex spent LWR-MOX)
 - Minor actinides : transmutation with different ways (U,Pu,Am)O_{2-x}, (U, Am)O_{2-x}, (MA,O)_{2-x}+ inert matrix

Specifications:

- Mastered by several processes
- Adapted to industrial fabrication
- Responding to safety issues







Fuel Element Qualification

• Objectives :



Licensing : authorisation of safety authorities for fuel loading in NPP

Requirements:

- regulatory guidance :
 - higher level safety objectives,
 - qualification of computational tools,
 - uncertainties consistent with Safety Margins
- regulatory criteria/limits :
 - maintaining cladding integrity, coolable geometry, and limiting radiological consequences
 - fuel failure and degradation mechanisms are identified & controlled

Fuel Element Qualification



 An essential part of fuel qualification is to define a test envelope to cover expected operating, transient, and accident conditions to assess fuel performance and validate fuel performance codes.



(2007) 232-242.

Systems".

OECD – report No. 6895 Dec. 2014. "State-of-the-art

Report on Innovative Fuels for Advanced Nuclear

geometry with central hole (EBR2, PFR, BN800), SPX type TRL 6-7 for others concepts

QUALIFICATION OF MOX FUEL FOR GENIV SYSTEMS



Fuel performance code qualification : ex. platform PLEIADES with GERMINAL for fast reactor



Dislocation

Dynamics

Cluster

dvnamics

Empirical Potential MD

First

DFT

Principles





IOG thickness [micron

B. Michel et al. chapter 9 in publishing series in Energy, 2021, pages 207-233.
C. Valot, Annual NSC meeting, 2019. Linking modeling/simulation and experiments for Fuel R&D at CEA Portelette et al, J. Nuc. Mat., 510, 2018
Bourasseau et al, J. Nuc. Mat., 517, 2019

C. Gueneau, JC. Dumas, Advances in Nuclear Fuel Chemistry, Publishing Series in Energy, 2020, Pages 419-467



Synthesis & Conclusion

Fuel for SFR : the feed back

GENT International Forum

Demonstrated a good stability and behaviour under irradiation up to very high burn-ups (20 at.%), limitation is due to clad and wrapper deformation.

 High creep rate (high temperature) and optimised pin design (smear density) to avoid FCMI



Oxide fuels

- Low thermal conductivity compensated by high melting point
- Compared to metal fuel, lower fuel swelling under irradiation
- Na reaction to be managed as well as clad corrosion at high burn-up
- Compatibility with stainless steel cladding
- Large feed back of safety tests
- Fuel Performance Codes : numerous and qualified on a set of reliable exp. tests
- Manufacturing and reprocessing processes similar to the Light Water Reactors (LWR) fuel industrial processes, taking advantage of LWR experience and existing facilities
- Fuel cycle : well known fabrication process and large experience on reprocessing
- Scenario : flexible towards Pu management (% and grade), Uranium use and high capabilities for Minor Actinides transmutation

Perspectives for R&D on MOX Pins



- Fuel material:
 - Properties measurements and recommandation for uncertainties reduction
 - Different fuel compositions for an enhanced flexibility towards fuel cycle options
- Clad material :
 - Development of several candidates for high neutron doses (>150dpa) with low swelling and FCCI resistance
- Fuel element :
 - Improvement design :
 - Annular pellets (→ enhance thermal behaviour and transient consequences)
 - Large pin diameter (\rightarrow reducing Na volume and structural materials)
 - Assesment of pin behaviour during incidental and accidental scenarios
 - Modelling Simulation : thermochemical, thermomechanical, 3D, multiscale approaches

SOURCES



Bibliography

- Comprehensive Nuclear materials, Konings, R. J. M., Ed. Elsevier 2012.
- Advances in Nuclear Chemistry, M.H.A. Piro, Elsevier 2020.
- The nuclear fuel of pressurized water reactors and fast neutron reactors, H. Bailly, et al. 1999, Pergamon Press. 660.
- H. Matzke, Science of Advance LMFBR Fuels. Amsterdam: North-Holland (1986)
- Dedicated reports or TECDOC from IAEA and Sate Of the Art Reports from OECD/NEA
- Publications mainly from Journal of Nuclear Materials, Nuclear Engineering and Design and Nuclear Technology
- Courses/school : FJOH, ISNE, ENEN
- Conferences ; FR09, 13, 17, GLOBAL 1993 →2019, IEMPT, NUMAT, ATALANTE
- Current International activities on oxide and others fuels for advanced reactors :
 - OECD: expert group on innovative fuel elements (NSC/WPFC/EGIF)
 - AIEA : CRP fuels and materials for fast reactors
 - GIF : Advanced fuel project management board in the SFR, GFR and VHTR systems
 - EUROPEAN PROJECTS : ESFR-SMART, INSPYRE, PUMMA
- International data bases:
 - <u>http://therpro.hanyang.ac.kr/search/search_map.jsp</u>
 - https://www.oecd-nea.org/science/taf-id/taf-id-public/
 - <u>https://www.oecd-nea.org/science/wprs/fuel/ifpelst-request.html</u>



Prototypes & industrial SFRs





Upcoming Webinars

25 February 2021	Overview of Waste Treatment Plant, Hanford Site	Dr. David Peeler, PNNL, USA
------------------	---	-----------------------------

25 March 2021 Introducing new Plant Systems Design (PSD) Code Dr. Prinja Nawal, Jacobs, UK

22 April 2021 Experience of HTTR licensing for Japan's New Mr. Etsuo ISHITSUKA, JAEA, Japan Nuclear Regulation



Attention Junior Researchers Get Ready to

"Pitch your Gen IV Research"

- Are you a current PhD student or did you complete your PhD after January 1, 2019?
- Was your PhD research related to Generation IV Advanced Nuclear Energy systems?
- Can you explain your research in three minutes?

If you answered yes to those questions, you may be interested in the Virtual Pitch your Gen IV Research Competition

https://www.gen-4.org/gif/pitch-your-generation-iv-research